SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_40034
Title of the Manuscript:	Carotid Body Tumour a Challenging Management: Rare Case Report in Baghdad Radiation Oncology Center, Medical City, Baghdad, Iraq
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Title Carotid Body Tumour a Challenging Management: Rare Case Report in Baghdad Radiation Oncology Center, Medical City, Baghdad, Iraq There mustn't be affiliation and address information in the title	
	Follow up There is not any information regarding patient follow up after radiation therapy. Symptoms like hypertension, palpitation, flushing? Tumor size? Complications related to radiation. Did the patient get well after the treatment? Is he/she alive? Laboratory The author mentions about laboratory studies performed like: CBC, ESR, RFT, electrolytes, urine analysis. Results? Are they in normal limits? For example, the patient's complaint like palpitation, headache, and weight loss was attributed to catecholamine excess. Did the authors study catecholamine (vanilmandelic acid) levels in urine or serum?	
Minor REVISION comments	Discussion	
	It would be better if the author compares radiation doses with their case and literature data	
	Grammar	
	There are some grammar and spelling errors.	
	Abbreviations Abbreviations are given at the end of the paper. I don't know the journal's politics. But the abbreviations must be given in parenthesis where it is first mentioned in the manuscript. In addition an abbreviation "SOL" is not defined neither in the case report nor at the end of the paper.	
	Figures Previous histopathology figure is not shown in the paper. However the author as he/she is defining the histopathology specimen as he/she is examining under light microscope.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mehmet Düzlü
Department, University & Country	Gazi University, Turkey

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)