



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_43197
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL INTERVENTION IN CHILDREN UNDERGOING CHEMOTHERAPY FOR CANCER
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I suggested to the authors describe all results as mean and interquartile interval or standard deviation. It is important that all variants mentioned on the tables present units of measurement. It is important include the description of the abbreviations in all tables. As you are analysing more than 2 groups the best statistics test is ANOVA. The tables should keep only one format in the entire manuscript. The Ethical approval number should be mentioned in the text. We know that 24 record dietary is not a gold standard tool to assess the diet consumption. I suggest to the authors discuss this on the limitations of the manuscript.	All results were presented as Mean±SD and count were presented as number(percentage). A list of abbreviations has been included in line 225-238 The various unit of measurement has been indicated in Table 1 and highlighted. In cases where two groups were compared either a paired or unpaired t-test was used depending on the circumstances. The focus was to compare the anthropometric and biochemical parameters of intervention and non-intervention at baseline, same for the third and then the sixth month. For the nutrients intake analysis where the focus was to compare the intake at baseline, third and sixth month for the intervention and non-intervention group, one-way anova analysis was used. The ethical approval number has been mentioned already in line 103. 24 hour dietary recall as a limitation to the study has been captured in line 214-215
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		