



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_42635
Title of the Manuscript:	Breast Cancer Knowledge and Screening Uptake among Female Secondary Schools Teachers in Owerri, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• I suggest the title for this manuscript as the following "Breast Cancer Knowledge and Screening Practices among Female Secondary Schools Teachers in Owerri, Nigeria". • In the abstract, the author showed "tertiary education attainment 8(3.3%)" but in table1description the author explained "majority of them 266 (93.7%) attained tertiary level of education". I think significant parameters of study results should be explained perfectly in the abstract. • Why the authors targeted the female secondary schools teachers? • In results section the authors found 273(96.1%) respondents were aware of breast cancer. On the other hand they found that their level of knowledge of breast cancer was poor. I think these findings are contradictory. I suggest rethinking about it. The meaning of "awareness of breast cancer" and "level of knowledge of breast cancer" should be clear. • Table 2 and Table 4 explanations need more or less correction. • I like to suggest comparing the findings with international standard or other countries on the knowledge levels and screening practices of breast cancer. • How can this study help the whole country? • In recommendations the authors recommended to improve awareness of breast cancer whereas they showed 96.1% respondents are aware. I think the authors can recommend other reasonable points or ideas. • The level of the English is moderate but it will be great if the manuscript language revised prior to acceptance.	Done Original research paper Page 1
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		