



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_40857
Title of the Manuscript:	CLINICOPATHOLOGICAL FEATURES AND PROGNOSTIC OUTCOME OF POORLY DIFFERENTIATED THYROID CARCINOMA: 10 -YEAR EXPERIENCE IN A TERTIARY CARE INSTITUTE AT SOUTH INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Indications are sometimes too vague ("higher" than). Here more precise data would be needed.	I have made appropriate corrections and highlighted it. Please check the revised manuscript attached.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Could the higher incidence of metastases in the study be due to the larger tumor size?	We have not done any statistical analysis, whether large tumor size correlates with higher metastatic rate or not because our sample size is very small. But higher metastasis could be due to delayed presentation of cases to our institute due to lack of awareness of the disease.