



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_40034
Title of the Manuscript:	Carotid Body Tumour a Challenging Management: Rare Case Report in Baghdad Radiation Oncology Center, Medical City, Baghdad, Iraq
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The grammar is very poor and should be corrected; I suggest he give the work to an English language specialist to prove read. 2. The author should let us know the classification of the CBT seen in this case. 3. This is a case report and not a review article as reported in the abstract. 4. The heading "case presentation "in the abstract should be expunged and replaced with RESULT. 5. Reference should be provided for the types of CBT mentioned in lines 25-29. 6. Any reference that this tumor is rare? (lines 18 and 107). 7. You did not tell us if this case was benign or malignant. 8. You did not tell us the condition of the patient as at the last appointment. 	<p>I'll send paper for English language specialist as soon as possible.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. And 2. I correct it according your comments. 3. It is case report and not review as you mention in 3. 4. Correct done 5. Correct done 6. It is malignant case since it recurrent and symptomatic, please see work up and conclusion. 7. See follow up.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Case presentation should be made to flow sequentially. 2. Do not report all the details of the CT scan and neck angiography. 3. Discussion : what is CBDS (line 115). 4. What are the other indications for the choice of radiotherapy in the management of this tumor? 5. Clinical pre and postoperative pictures should be provided. 6. References for a case report should not be more than 10. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Correct made. 2. Details mentions according to reviewer suggestions. 3. Correct done. 4. Indications mention. 5. Patient refused showed her face. Other pictures were lost in war against ISIS in Iraq. 6. I request an exception for this paper to increase references to 13.
Optional/General comments	The work could have been better but it is poorly executed.	