



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	MS_JCTI_32940
Title of the Manuscript:	COMBINED INTRALIPID-UROTHErapy FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper is designed as a review of combined intralipid- urotherapy usage in cancer. It has some limitations on language and need to fix grammer faults and use an open language. The title and the review doesn' t fit correctly. The urotherapy usage is referred to 1930s articles and there is not a good strongly scientific base to built up a review on it. "Urotherapy" is only used for 3 times as a word in the article and the part of urotherapy is very limited. Beside that there is a lot of information about immunotherapy, antibodies and antigens .Paper is like a general treatment methods of cancer review more than combined intralipid-urotherapy usage. It is 47 paged paper and really hard to understand and get a take home message. The given conclusion part 2 is irrelevant with the article.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asif Yildirim
Department, University & Country	Department of Urology, Istanbul Medeniyet University, Turkey