



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_29776
Title of the Manuscript:	RADIO-INDUCED SARCOMAS
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract labelled as summary. Language needs to be improved with grammatical mistakes . Spelling mistakes – ex objective spelt as objectif Sentences are not starting with capital letter. Punctuation marks not used properly. Too many reference for a case report – please go through author guidelines , it asks for only 20 relevent references. Latest reference provided by you ,is of 2004 – 12 years old . Objective for the case report is not appropriate . Headings under which case report and abstract are presented are also not appropriate. The aim and the purpose of this case report is not clear . Is it the treatment, histology, site of primary tumor ,prognosis ,chemotherapy,histology of secondary tumor etc . The discussion is not focussed and most of the content appears non- specific and vague..	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hima Bindu Rallabandi
Department, University & Country	Apollo Institute of Medical Sciences, India