



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_37565
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiomorphology of prostate cancer in Cameroon: about 1047 cases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This study is a morphology based study showing histopathologic aspects of prostate cancer distribution in Cameroon. Only age and PSA are known parameters. Because of retrospective nature of the study several epidemiological info (family history, lifestyle, education, diet ...) are missing. At this point the info here given is limited to morphologic distribution. This limitation should be written in the text. Certain issues are to be added.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. High PSA levels 41 % has over 100 ng/ml. The authors should give some info about sceening policy in Cameroon. Are family practitioners or physicians ask PSA test men over 40 or 50.</li> <li>2. Gleason sum scores can be reclassified to new grading system or at least should be commented in discussion .</li> </ol> <p>Discussion section paragraph 5. Genetic factors and prostate cancer irrelevant to the study. The authors did not search even family history.</p>	Ok noted.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		