



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_36431
Title of the Manuscript:	No Evidence for Association of -2518 A/G Promoter Polymorphism of MCP-1 with Risk of Esophageal Cancer in Punjab, North-West India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is very interesting paper. I ask some questions. 1.199. prevalence was higher in females as compared to males.→prevalence was higher in males as compared to females. 2.199,200 Male patients with age> 540 years had 2.5 times higher risk for EC as compared to female patients. Please comment the gender difference in esophageal carcinoma in discussion. 3.Please comment the relationship between higher, lower expression of MCP-1 and esophageal cancer in your data.	Manuscript has been modified as per reviewer suggestions. (Highlighted in Yellow colour in the revised manuscript). We had not estimated the MCP- 1 level in this study. Due to this, we had not correlated the genotypic data with MCP expression.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please I ask author to revise paper according to my comment.	