



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_35955
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of ADAM17 expression as a potential prognostic biomarker and target for therapy in node-negative Breast Carcinoma
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. In tables 1, the percentages should be calculated within the same group not across the 2 groups. 2. There was not indicated P value in Table1. The author concluded "ADAM17 protein is involved in human breast cancer initiation, progression and distant metastasis and its prognostic impact could be independent of conventional prognostic factors", however, there was no comparison between ADAM17 and other factors, therefore, I could not understand the significance of ADAM17 staining. I think the correlation between ADAM17 staining and prognosis should be shown. 3. Was there significant difference in ADAM17 staining between node-positive and node-negative? Because the title of manuscript included "node-negative Breast Carcinoma", therefore, I think the difference in ADAM17 staining between node-positive and node-negative breast cancer will be important.	No please, we are looking at the specific conventional prognostic factor at a time. This have been modified in Table 1 Please see table 1 Please we just included node-positive just to determine ADAM17 expression level in it and not necessarily to compare node-negative and node-positive. The number of node-positive cases was even too small to do these comparison. Thank you
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		