



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	MS_JCTI_32940
Title of the Manuscript:	COMBINED INTRALIPID-UROOTHERAPY FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript is too long. Excellent research on immunotherapy, but the key points; urotherapy and intralipid parts barely make 15% of the whole discussion. Consider revising.	It is 57 pages and not only 45 pages... There are more than 15% of the article related to " urotherapy and intralipid" since it is a "Creative Review" and the reader/reviewer should examine everything written in the light of its innovative title : " COMBINED INTRALIPID-UROTERAPY FOR PATIENTS WITH CANCER".
Optional/General comments	It only shows evidence in the final 5 pages of the 45-page-long manuscript	