



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_32488
Title of the Manuscript:	TECHNETIUM-MDP BONE SCAN/SPECT CT FINDINGS IN ERDHEIM-CHESTER DISEASE; A CASE REPORT OF SKULL AND RIBS INVOLVEMENT.
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	According to me, major revisions are necessary for this case report. 1) I could not understand author 's aim in this study. They should explain What they want to tell to readers. At first, they should explain What TECHNETIUM-MDP BONE SCAN/SPECT CT and which diseases dose it use for? What are it's indication? At second, how does diagnosis Erdheim-Chester disease? 2) Several sentences are repating in introduction section and discussion section. These sentences should be shorten. 3) They should add a conclusion sentence to abstract and the end of discussion section. 4) They should emphasize what they want to tell.	All issues raised here has been attended to and addressed. Thank you very much for your review.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		