



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_25209
Title of the Manuscript:	High risk human papilloma virus serotyping and cervical cytology as screening tests for cervical cancer in human immunodeficiency virus positive women in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) In the article the Author uses the word "HPV serotype, serotyping, etc.". Since the HPV typing is made by molecular methods (PCR) and not by serological methods, which are able to detect HPV antibodies, I strongly recommend to use the term "HPV genotype, genotyping, etc.), as used in the line #101. 2) In <i>Methods/materials</i> section it is recommended to explain which type of primers were used and which region is amplified in the HPV amplification. Furthermore, the Author doesn't explain if he used an <i>home made</i> or a commercial nucleic acid extraction method. 3) Line # 156: please correct "rhHPV" with "hrHPV"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luigi Tagliaferro
Department, University & Country	Dept. Virology & Molecular biology, Laboratory "Pignatelli"- Lecce, Italy