



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_24468
Title of the Manuscript:	Gallbladder Carcinoma in Ghana: Histopathological Examination of Cholecystectomy Specimen.

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I think the author did not catch the point in my comment. This study is a pathological report of the incidental finding of cancer in removed gallbladders for benign looking disease so the patients presentations should be not specific to malignancy otherwise the treatment plan is different. Even if the presentation is suspicious it is a must to investigate before going to cholecystectomy (by U/S at least if CT is no available) or it will be a nightmare. It was better to say these were presenting with the picture of cholelithiasis and explain jaundice and mass to a benign cause like stone CBD, mucocoele or pyocoele. This meaning was reported in your introduction in lines 27-29 and in your discussion in lines 74, 75, 81 and in your conclusion in lines 91-93. Again you said in lines 69, 70 (none of the tumour extends beyond perimuscular connective tissue (T2).) and this against that in lines 82, 83 (suggesting that most patient with gallbladder malignancy in Ghana may report to hospital very late when the disease is in a highly advanced stage). Also you said in line 72 (Fourteen out of the sixteen cases (87.5%) had a background cholecystitis.) and this was not reported in your results and against that in line 79. 80 (The indications for most of the surgeries were not stated with only few stated as cholecystitis and cholelithiasis) You described Table 1 as (Symptoms of gallbladder carcinoma) firstly jaundice and mass are signs not symptoms and it was better to say clinical presentation of our patients.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Tanta University, Egypt