



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_30063
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiomorphology of kidney cancer in Cameroon: about 110 cases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.The title of the manuscript is 'Epidemiomorphology of kidney cancer...' however, the author is discussing about the epidemiology of uro-genital cancers. The author is advised to either change title or make changes in the manuscript accordingly. 2.The epidemiology / incidence of tumors should be estimated separately for males and females. 3. The author should include epidemiology of benign lesions of kidney also. 4. The manuscript needs a major revision in language and grammar. 5.The discussion needs to be more lucid and broken up into small paragraphs.	- Changes had been made to be conform to the title - I don't understand the reason for including the epidemiology of benign lesions, when the title is based only on kidney cancer
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1.The data collected over a period of 10 years is enriching but it needs to be written in better way. 2.The reader is confused whether the author is discussing about uro-genital cancers or kidney cancers.	