



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_29876
Title of the Manuscript:	Small cell carcinoma of the bladder: review of the literature and case report.
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	If this article was submitted as a case report, it is ok. But if it was submitted as a review article it is insufficient for publication.	I changed the view of the article, focusing on a case report and the long-term survival of the patient. since one of the reviewers stated that this article is acceptable as a 'case report' but not as a full article (although it was not noted in what sense this article was insufficient to be a review article) AND the fact that the title should be changed ... we thought it is better to submit this as 'a case report' and not as 'a review article'. We think both descriptions (case report and review article) are applicable for the article. This was done given this remark and since the starting point of the article was a patients' case.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are many grammar errors and punctuation errors in manuscript. All of them must be carefully read and corrected.	Changed and adapted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If this article was submitted as a case report, it can be published with some revisions. But if it was submitted as a review article it is insufficient for publication.	