SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Cancer and Tumor International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JCTI_29494**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Analysis Of Pelvic Lymph Nodal Metastasis In Operable Cases Of Cancer Cervix-A One Year Cohort Study**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Interesting manuscript** **Minor changes are required** **Introduction:** **Please delete: (**Patients with squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) histology have better survival rate when compared to patients with adenocarcinoma or adeno-squamous carcinoma) **Method:** **Please** mention about your inclusion criteria in details. **Please explain clearly (**Pearson chi square test with yates correction for continuity). **Also, did you use univariate analysis?** **Results:** **Please show all your results including your correlations in a clear table and you should include a column for p value** **And at that time, delete from (((**The different parameters like -------- **To** in three cases))) **and only include in your text new information not present in your Table** **Discussion:** **Please add another 1 extensive Paragraph to your text in order to compare your results with others and delete table 5.** **Please delete Charts 1, 2**	Thank you for your valuable comments. I have done the modifications and deleted those lines. No, we didn't use univariate analysis.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		