



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_29494
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis Of Pelvic Lymph Nodal Metastasis In Operable Cases Of Cancer Cervix-A One Year Cohort Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Results are badly described Discussion is weak and is mostly repetition for introduction. No valuable discussion of outcome The outcome of the study is vague and not well-defined	Outcome of study was to compare the various risk factors in post operative patients. Results and discussions are modified.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Language needs to be adjusted. No aim of work was written at bottom of introduction	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		