



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_28440
Title of the Manuscript:	SIGNIFICANCE OF TUMOR MARKER CA15-3 IN BONY METASTATIC BREAST CANCER
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, corrects the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In results, did author try to correlate serum Ca 15.3 levels with other sites of disease as well (liver, lung metastasis)? it might be interesting to see the association of all sites as ca15.3 is a tumor burden indicator. 2. Need more extensive literature search to make discussion more rich in scientific content.	No author did not tried to correlate CA15-3 with metastasis to different sites other than bones b/c during study fewer cases of only lung or liver metastasis were found. More literature added to discussion
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Its an interesting study, however needs to get thoroughly checked for spelling/grammar / language correction. It should be crisper and conclusions must be rewritten to convey the very essence of it.	All English language mistakes have been corrected. Conclusion rewritten.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		