



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_28234
Title of the Manuscript:	Survivin as a Prognostic Marker in Leukemic Egyptian Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The title may be inappropriate. The authors did not directly evaluate the relationship between the survivin level and prognosis in the study. Although there was a statistically significant association between WBC count and survivin level in CLL, the coefficient of correlation must be low. Patients with higher WBC count did not always have higher survivin level. The authors evaluated only the associations of survivin with known prognostic parameters, not the actual prognosis or clinical course of patients. In such methods, the study can never show the superiority of survivin as a prognostic marker compared to know other markers. In the patients and methods section, the authors did not describe the method of measurement of blood survivin concentration correctly. The reference #15 does not contain the description of survivin. The authors should clarify the method. In addition, the first author's name is not correct. Although the actual method for measuring survivin level is not clear, the authors may have examined the frequency of survivin-positive lymphocyte, but not blood survivin concentration, according to Fig (1). Furthermore, the gates for positive survivin-expression were different among assays. The author should indicate the rationale for determination of the gates. If the serum concentration of survivin needs to be measured, ELISA should be appropriate.	New title: "Assessment of Survivin and Lactate Dehydrogenase Isoenzyme in Leukemic Egyptian Patients" - method of measurement of blood survivin concentration was added line (123- 132) - ref 15 deleted - the gates for positive survivin-expression(done)



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	On page 11, line 319, the sentence is not completed.	This sentence deleted.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As the authors described, survivin is a potential biomarker for activity of malignant disease. However, the article has some defects to show the evidence.	