



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_25232
Title of the Manuscript:	A better perspective of nuclear atypia in Nottingham prognostic index: study on breast carcinoma patient cohort from Eastern India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	* Improve the data in fig. 4; * Use grayscale in fig. 3; * The all paper needs to be reviewed by a native in the language. * Improve format in all paper. Standardize the font.	Data improvement done in the main article Grayscale used in fig.3 Improvement in format done in the article
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		