SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Cancer and Tumor International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JCTI_22461**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A REVIEW OF PROSTATE CANCER MANAGEMENT IN THE UK AND OTHER EUROPEAN COUNTRIES**
Type of the Article	**A systematic review**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Pag.7: write Photons not Photo Pag.8 : The treatment could be in multiple fractions(or single fraction administered over 2-3 weeks – this is not true). Better to write : …administred over 6/7 weeks.	- Photo was corrected to read photon - Page 8 was corrected to read administered over 6-7 weeks
Optional/General comments	The authors conduct a systematic review, evaluating the effectiveness of the available management strategies of prostate cancer trying to find the best practice standards on prostate cancer management,	