



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_21431
Title of the Manuscript:	Primary Angiosarcoma of the Prostate Gland: A Review of the Literature
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	• The Abstract must be write in one paragraph only and must be rewrite. You never use the words "various internet data bases", you must have cited all and not "including" • When you use a internet database you must inform the keywords used and date of research and what parameters do you use to refine this research. • In the text when you cited more than one author you must use [1-3] and not [1][2][3] • Keywords it is a word that defines you paper not a lot of words... Choose only 5 according to the author's guideline and search in pubmed what is keywords. • When you cited an author in two consecutive paragraphs you must use one paragraph and cited this authors one time only. • You extend a lot this paper, this is not a literature review... This is more a compendium about. You must use only useful notes and not all that you read on internet. • You should use all symptoms in a table, it's more easily to read and understand. • Your tables is confusing, you must rewrite then. • You must explain what is this figures that you use rather than only cited the law and when you find this.	The abstract is in a non-structured format now The data bases have been included in the abstract and the last accessed date has been included These changes have been made to be consistent with the journal style you want Key words have been reduced to six but the last one can be removed if you want 5 only These changes have been made I prefer an extensive document in a general review. It may be laborious to read but in my opinion that after reading the detailed boring stuff there would not be the need to read any more on the subject. I have kept the paper long. If there are sentences you want me to delete please highlight them. I do not think it is absolutely necessary to present the symptoms in a table form Please suggest another way of presenting the table as a help or could your technical staff help. I have provided an alternative as a summary I am not sure if it is good enough Your statement regarding the law is not correct. The College of American Pathologists would not permit reproduction of the figures without inclusion of the law and without inclusion of the law even if the paper is accepted I would have to withdraw



SDI Review Form 1.6

		the paper. The College has granted me permission to use figures in journals before and each time it was mandatory to include the required statements from the College. I would assume you have not used any figure from Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine or from the American College of Pathologists before.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		