



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_45341
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF RISKY SEXUAL BEHAVIOURS AMONG YOUTHS IN SELECTED COMMUNITIES IN OBIO-AKPOR AND IKWERRE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title: Please add the name of country at the end of title.</p> <p>Abstract: 1- Add country name at the end of Background where you talk about the aim of study. 2- Give more information about one of the inclusion or exclusion criteria OR the self-administrated questionnaire in the methodology section. 3- Please write about ethical approval in the methodology section. 4- Write the testes were used to analysis the data.</p> <p>Keywords: Add the country name</p> <p>Introduction: 1- Use only one reference style. See line 40 and 43. There are two styles of referencing. 2- If you use same references continuously it is better to just cite one time. So you can remove reference 2 in line 45 and also reference 4 in line 55.</p> <p>Methodology: 1- Please make short the 'Stud area'. It is too long. 2- Please add reference in the "study design" for those who are 15-24 years old as youth. 3- Make short the "sampling method". 4- "Data collection/Procedure" is mostly about data analysis. Here it is better to talk about how you have collect data. 5- Limitations should move to end of discussion.</p> <p>Results: 1- Please remove number 4 from the title of all tables. Table 1, 2, 3.. 2- Result section should be shorter and the number of tables should reduce.</p> <p>Discussion: This section is short and you didn't discuss the findings of your study with other relevant studies.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Mohammadnezhad
Department, University & Country	Fiji National University, Fiji