



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_45276
Title of the Manuscript:	Functional Compounds of Lobelia inflata Revealed Novel and Potential Targets for Chronic Cough Therapy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are several grammatical issues on the paper . I strongly recommend a professional revision of the article, to correct many misspelling and concordance errors constantly found throughout the manuscript. I mentioned some of grammatical issues and and the list of duplicated sentences in the "minor revision" section below.	
Minor REVISION comments	Some grammatical features need to check: - Line 13: provides or provide? - Line 20: It should be a non-defining relative clause. "However, ambrisentan, which is an antagonist of endothelin receptors, was found to be the most effective" - Line 31-33: The sentence: "Cough is a reflex response to microbial invasion or chemical irritants, which is mediated by sensory neurons in the airways which transmit through neurons present in the brainstem of the respiratory tract" should be reviewed. - Line 52: has or have? - Line 58-61: you need to review the form of ralative clause - Line 68, 71: has or have?	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript describes Functional Compounds of Lobelia inflata which have important role in chronic cough therapy. I admire the effort to publish theses results, in order to enhance our knowledge on the subject. Nevertheless, grammatical corrections should be done primarily.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Ho Tat Bang</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Ho Chi Minh City University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Viet Nam</i>