



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_46017
Title of the Manuscript:	Predictive factors of anaemia in postmenopausal women in a Ghanaian rural district
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	THE MANUSCRIPT HAS SOME MERITS BUT THERE ARE AREAS OF CONCERNED AS POINTED OUT IN THE WRITE-UP. THE METHODOLOGY, ESPECIALLY THE ASSESSMENT OF DIETARY INTAKE OF IRON CONTAINING FOODS SHOULD BE REPRESENTED FOR CLARITY THE STUDY FAILED TO ASSESS KNOWLEDGE OF RESPONDENTS ON ANAEMIA IN TERMS OF CAUSES, PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT.	Manuscript has been enhanced. However, there were no data collected on the views of respondents on anaemia.
Minor REVISION comments	THERE WERE SOME TYPOGRAPHICAL ERRORS AND THERE IS NEED FOR REARRANGEMENT OF SOME STATEMENTS AS POINTED OUT IN THE MANUSCRIPT SOME OF THE TABLES NEED FORMATTING OR RE-ARRANGEMENT	This has been redone.
Optional/General comments	NONE	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) PERMISSION TO TAKE BLOOD SAMPLE WAS NOT WELL DISCUSSED. WHAT BENEFITS WERE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE RESPONDENTS AFTER THE STUDY?	Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Review Committee of Ghana Health Service. A written consent was obtained from the individual respondents after the study had been explained to them.