



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_45664
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Screening and Antimicrobial Activities of Lepidium sativum and Rumex nervosus in Eritrea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Results and Discussion <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Table 2 & Table 3, the values must be presented in two decimal places instead of round figure. Ex. 24 ± 0.5 to be changed as 24.00 ± 0.50, this will be more appropriate to present the results. This is to be applied for all the values in Table 2 & 3. The authors are requested to put the original decimal values in the Table. Figure 2 & 3 supposed to be removed since it is an exact reflection of Table 2 & 3 The concentration of the extracts used for antimicrobial studies to be clearly mentioned in the results. It is supposed to be 250 mg/mL of the extracts and not 250 mg of plant powder/mL The standard chloramphenicol concentration also to be mentioned in the manuscript. Figure 4 is not clear and not necessary in the manuscript. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Now corrected we included the figures to display a better comparison scheme, however the figures can be removed Now corrected now included the figure is now modified
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Results and Discussions to be changed as Results and Discussion Conclusions to be changed as Conclusion After 4. Results and Discussion, directly 4.2. Antimicrobial.....It is to be changed to 4.1. 	<p>All the necessary corrections are now incorporated</p> <p>4.1 phytochemical analysis is now included</p>
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	