



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_45341
Title of the Manuscript:	PREDICTORS OF RISKY SEXUAL BEHAVIOURS AMONG YOUTHS IN SELECTED COMMUNITIES IN OBIO-AKPOR AND IKWERRE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREAS OF RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title: Please add the name of country at the end of title.</p> <p>Abstract: 1- Add country name at the end of Background where you talk about the aim of study. 2- Give more information about one of the inclusion or exclusion criteria OR the self-administrated questionnaire in the methodology section. 3- Please write about ethical approval in the methodology section. 4- Write the testes were used to analysis the data.</p> <p>Keywords: Add the country name</p> <p>Introduction: 1- Use only one reference style. See line 40 and 43. There are two styles of referencing. 2- If you use same references continuously it is better to just cite one time. So you can remove reference 2 in line 45 and also reference 4 in line 55.</p> <p>Methodology: 1- Please make short the 'Stud area'. It is too long. 2- Please add reference in the "study design" for those who are 15-24 years old as youth. 3- Make short the "sampling method". 4- "Data collection/Procedure" is mostly about data analysis. Here it is better to talk about how you have collect data. 5- Limitations should move to end of discussion.</p> <p>Results: 1- Please remove number 4 from the title of all tables. Table 1, 2, 3.. 2- Result section should be shorter and the number of tables should reduce.</p> <p>Discussion: This section is short and you didn't discuss the findings of your study with other relevant studies.</p>	I have worked hard to make corrections to the necessary comments. I will sincerely and humbly accept your candid suggestions, inputs and more comments to improve and publish my manuscript in your reputable journal. Thanks to the reviewers and the editorial team.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	