



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_45189
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTS OF AGERATUM CONYZOIDES L. PROTECTS AGAINST CARBON TETRACHLORIDE – INDUCED TOXICITY IN RATS THROUGH INHIBITING OXIDATIVE STRESS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Please add the reference of Thirupathi Gorre et al (2011) and compare the authors results with Thirupathi Gorre work. Thirupathi Gorre et al (2011) Hepatoprotective effect of Ageratum conyzoides L. against carbon tetrachloride intoxicated rat. International Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology, 1(1): 21-26. -Adjust the histological images in Figure 5 and 6 (5D, 5B, 6D, 6F) more clearly - Add the letter a, b, c to show the significant difference between samples instead of only one <i>p</i> value in Table 2 and Table 3. -Please ask native speaker to revise grammar and language errors in this manuscript such as section 3.8, line 229 , use "the percentage" in place of "the percent" and correct the passive voice tense "These data were calculated" to replace the sentence "These were calculate"	Please reviewer comment not really clear to author Well noted and corrections done in manuscript Please reviewer comment not really clear to author Well noted and corrections done in manuscript
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)



SDI Review Form 1.6

If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u>	The majority of idea of this manuscript is identical with Thirupathi Gorre et al (2011). Please add the reference and compare this finding with Thirupathi Gorre work Hepatoprotective effect of Ageratum conyzoides L. on rat model was investigated by Thirupathi Gorre et al (2011) www.ijpt.org/doi/NGthbGFpMTQ3ODUyMzY5	Can the reviewer kindly highlight the major ideas of the manuscript he thinks is identical with Thirupathi Gorre et al (2011). Can the reviewer kindly highlight areas of the manuscript he thinks is in plagiarism with Thirupathi Gorre et al (2011).
--	--	---