



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_44203
Title of the Manuscript:	Liver Enzymes and Hepatic Histomorphological Assessment in Alloxan Monohydrate Induced Hyperglycaemic Male Wistar Rats treated with Glibenclamide and Theophylline
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Kindly incorporate the purpose of the study at the end of the introductory section. 2. Table not numbered. 3. Include the title of the table under 1.3.2 and align the contents based on their respective headings. 4. The method used in the histological analysis was missing. Thus, include the how the histopathology of the liver tissue was conducted under materials and methods. 5. Ethical approval was missing. 6. Include a conflict of interest. The manuscript needs modification in the following lines of the text Lines: 19, 21, 28, 53, 54, 56, 58, 61, 69, 73, 75, 77, 78, 87-89, 93, 99-101, 108, 114-117, 121, 126-127, 131, 141, and 145.	Thank you very much for these insightful corrections. Every instruction has been effected appropriately.
Minor REVISION comments	1. What is the rationale of 1ml/kg of water daily mentioned in the table? Did you determine the water intake of every animal? 2. Kindly make a comparison between group treated with Glibenclamide and Theophylline. 3. Kindly compare the outcome of the group treated with Glibenclamide and Non-diabetic group and incorporate it in the text.	No sir we didn't. The rationale is for sham treatment so as to expose the control to the same handling as the treated groups in other to cancel out any impact the handling could have on the results. Noted Corrections have been carried out in the manuscript
Optional/General comments	Very concise and well-written manuscript.	Thank you for your contributions

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes. There are standards set for the care and use of Laboratory Animals, which all researchers using animals should strictly adhere to the ethical issues.	The research was carried out according to the guidelines of Ahmadu Bello University animal use and care policy.