



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_43406
Title of the Manuscript:	Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) analysis: An important tool to differentiate between Bacterial Meningitis and Viral Meningitis
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 101 – Data analysis The type of statistical analysis wasn't informed (e.g Wilcoxon rank), only the software used Line 108 – 10 patients with tubercular meningitis (exclusion criteria). The correct would be a total of 103 patients where 10 were excluded Ethical issue: There was no informed consent or ethics committee approval.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English writing is poor throughout the paper, it must be re-written	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wagner Malagó Tavares
Department, University & Country	University of Sao Paulo, Brazil