## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



## **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_41845
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Profile of Wound Sepsis and Antimicrobial Pattern of Isolates at Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State. Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

## **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

# **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is well structured. It is a good source of information on the prevalence rate of certain bacteria species in wound infection.  However, the author(s) should take note of the minor corrections below and act promptly.	
	NOTE: The tables are not well arranged and spaced out. Please correct that. It will be good if the zones of inhibition of the isolates against the various antibiotics used are also included in the write up.	
Minor REVISION comments  Optional/General comments	Line 12: Study design and Methodologys (remove "s") Line 12:were (was) obtained Line 16:culture(d) Line 18: Data were (was) coded Line 46:prolong (ed) hospital Line 96:culture (d) within Line 97:further gram stain (d) directly to Line 111:zone(s) of inhibition were recorded. Line 113s:isolate Escherichia coli were (was) susceptible Line 143:Fig. 2A (please remove depicts from the table title) Line 261: Table 3B Mix (ed) Isolates from Wound Line 289:population (,) our research showed Line 299-301:which covers (covered) the period of October 1997 throughindicates (indicated) that the incidence please review this statement and write appropriately Line 304:was (were) found within Line 309: The highest single isolates (remove) Line 319:occurrence (occurring) isolate. Line 331:within 0-10years (25.5%) (,) followed by 31-40 years Line 362:stated in is (his) research that good quality Line 367:be practice (practiced) and advocated Line 368:advocate (d) increase Line 369:43.3% to 95.6% (,) there was 8.9% Line 371-374:Al-Tawfiq et al, (2013) in Saudi (please review and write correctly) Line 379:and conferences (,) this will expose stake holders Line 381:delivery(.) this will invariably reduce medical tourism in African continent. Line 382:nd polymicrobial of(something is missing here) (15.1%) in	

# **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Ojo Omolara Comfort
Department, University & Country	Department of Microbiology, University of Lagos, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)