

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_41291
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDROETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF FICUS PUMILA LINN. IS PROTECTIVE AGAINST GENATAMICIN-INDUCED KIDNEY D
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 In line 7-8, the author should briefly give background of the study under the abstract before stating the aim of this study In line 85-87, how were you able to confirm if Gentamicin injection administered actually induced Kidney damage? What is the appropriate method of sacrifice for rats especially if the weight is upto 200g? There is mix up in line 96-97. Kindly review it No ethical approval for this study? Ethical approval: Yes and it was not addressed and author should supply the ethical registration	
Minor REVISION comments	 number in the manuscript 1. In line 111, it is Alanine 2. Author should follow standard template of this journal in arranging list of references. Thus review it 	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is very interesting and the work is worth-sharing with the Scientific community if it can be revised appropriately.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atere Adedeji D.
Department, University & Country	Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Achievers University, Nigeria

DAMAGE IN RATS

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write