



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40957
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Renal dysfunction and its relationship with CD4 and TNF alpha of HIV patients on Anti-retroviral Therapy in Port Harcourt.
New title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Renal dysfunction and its relationship to CD4 and TNF alpha of HIV positive individuals on Anti-retroviral Therapy in Port Harcourt.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
This is a cross-sectional study investigating the association between renal function staging and immunological markers and cytokines. It is not an innovative study, yet it has its scientific contributions. However, despite previous suggestions, the text has not been corrected. It is, however, a problem of language, not technical-scientific. In this respect, it is of the utmost importance that the manuscript be reviewed by a native English speaker. With regard to the technical-scientific aspect, the introduction is very extensive, and apparently larger than the discussion of the study itself. This violates the basic premises of scientific writing. The method was copied from a previous suggestion, rather than using the suggestion as a guide for its rewriting. Indeed, in places where it was requested to be expanded or to provide more information, nothing was done. The results are "thrown" randomly, without objectivity or sequential nexus. Statistical analysis is precarious for data of such relevance. Linear regression analysis and simple difference between groups, instead of exploring the data, creating logistic regression models, in order to identify a relevant predictor model. The discussion is brief, and in some aspects it seems to repeat what was presented in the results. There is no clear discussion of the findings, raising ideas or confronting ideas. The conclusion follows in the same vein. The raw material of the study is rich, but very poorly worked, causing loss of	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

its technical-scientific value.	
---------------------------------	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Henrique Pott Junior</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil</i>