



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40680
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Induced Abortion Amongst Women Attending Antenatal Care Services in a Tertiary Health Institution in Nigeria.
Type of Article:	

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
To authors, The manuscript becomes better. Based on my paper writing experience for 4-decade long, I wish to give you some advice. 1. Changed parts should be written in a "reviewer-friendly" manner. Orange light color is very difficult to follow. Please be friendly not only to "readers" but also "reviewers". 2. You did not "shorten" the manuscript. The era has gone away in which "longer detailed one is better": instead" the shorter, the better" era, we are living now. 3. I understand "recording the circumstances" is also important. This is especially important to make policy of the corresponding area. However, "scientific paper" is different from "record". 4. You will hear from something from editor. Thank you for letting me look at this manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Shigeki Matsubara</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Jichi Medical University, Japan</i>