



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40220
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF CARDIOPULMONARY FUNCTIONS IN TRAINED MALE ATHLETES AND SINGERS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The objective of the study should be included in the final part of Introduction (or - as a separate chapter). Discussion is a weak part of this work - should be more deepened and extended. I suggest to add the chapter Limitations of the study	
Minor REVISION comments	A small number of respondents is a shortcoming of this work. Maybe it' would be worth adding to the title that it's a pilot research.	
Optional/General comments	Lack of conclusions relating to the practice. This is a very interesting idea for research.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paweł F. Nowak
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Physical Education and Physiotherapy, Opole University of Technology, Poland