



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_39759
Title of the Manuscript:	AMELOGENESIS IMPERFECTA-A CASE SERIES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a general discussion on AI with 3 cases illustrated at baseline. It does not bring any clear advancement in our field Photos are not of good quality	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Case reports presented by means of photos do not allow confirming the diagnosis proposed by the authors. The first case report should be with teeth cleaned. Better quality photos are needed, as resolution as well as technical setup.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stefano Ardu
Department, University & Country	Clinique Universitaire De Médecine Dentaire, Switzerland