

Original Research Article

Determinants of malaria treatment seeking behaviour among urban and rural households in North Central Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Background: Malaria is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in Nigeria accounting for a significant proportion of household expenditure in treatment and prophylaxis. Nigeria account for 25% of global clinical cases and mortality and also has the highest malaria burden in sub Saharan Africa. In most cases of malaria illness, treatment takes place at the community level and only few serious cases are taken to healthcare facilities. A number of cultural, socioeconomic, patient related and health service provider variables often in a complex interplay are known to influence treatment seeking behaviour, though the relative importance of variables differ widely between settings.

Objectives: To identify where households receive malaria treatment services as well as prevalence of malaria related hospitalization. Also to identify the most common factor(s) that most influence malaria treatment behaviour.

Methods: This is a cross section study using multistage sampling method for household survey. This study was carried out during the rainy months of June and July 2016. Six towns with high urban and rural population density in Benue State were purposely selected for survey. A total of 1705 completed household administered questionnaires were found usable for analysis. The data was entered into SPSS version 20 for descriptive and inferential analysis. Factor analysis using principal component analysis, varimax rotation with KMO normalization was carried out. Factor loadings lower than 0.4 was suppressed. Mean item scores with high factor loading and mean total extracted component score were used for further analysis. P values ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Ethical issues: Ethical approval was sought and obtained from health research ethic department of Benue State Ministry of health and human services (Ref.no.MED/261/VOL./540).

Results/Discussion: The average malaria prevalence in rural areas was twice that of urban areas, though the rates of hospitalization were similar. About 50% of households in both rural and urban areas reported at least one episode of malaria within the study period reflecting persistence of high malaria transmission. Many variables have been shown to influence treatment behaviour.

Conclusion: Malaria cases and malaria related hospitalization remain high and while public health facilities play more dominant roles, while in rural areas private providers dominates the landscape. Treatment seeking behaviour is largely influenced by many variables relating to availability, accessibility, affordability and perceptions of household healthcare decision makers.

Keywords: Malaria, Treatment behaviour, Determinants, Household

Comment [RGK1]: Is this part of an abstract?

Comment [RGK2]: May I suggest to include Nigeria as part of keywords?

1. BACKGROUND

"Malaria" is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in Nigeria particularly in pregnant women and children below five years [1] The disease is estimated to be directly responsible for 20 – 40% of global hospital out-patient consultations in malaria endemic countries. In sub Saharan Africa malaria is estimated to be responsible for 10 – 15% of hospitalizations primarily due to complications [2]. Globally, prevalence of malaria among populations at risk declined by 21%, mortality also fell by 29 among all age groups and for children below five years the decline was about 35% [3]. Nigeria being the most populous country in sub Saharan Africa is expected to contribute to most of the 76% malaria prevalence and 75% of global mortality. The average national prevalence of malaria was 45.1% though the number of cases in rural areas more than doubles that in urban areas [4]. According to federal ministry of health estimated 60% of all outpatient hospital visits, 36% of all hospital admissions and 11% of maternal mortality in Nigeria is due to malaria. Over 110 million cases of clinical malaria is diagnosed annually in Nigeria, though there have been concerns about over diagnosis [5]. Malaria is estimated to cause an annual economic loss of about \$132 billion in treatment, prevention and loss of productivity [6].

A nationwide malaria indicator survey reported that prevalence was between 24 – 58% across the country [4], however several studies reported much higher prevalence of malaria [7] reported prevalence of 70.8%, [8] (73.9%) and [9] (81.9%). The national malaria treatment policy recognized several shortcomings in malaria management practices when it noted that 80% of malaria is poorly managed at the community level, laboratory confirmation is low and up to 40% of patients with malaria die for lack of proper care.

Despite many interventions in the last decade malaria remains a major public health problem. The participation of community and households in detection and treatment of malaria has been vigorously emphasized in most malaria control programmes. The behaviour of households and other care givers in the community to febrile illness has been noted to be an important factor in prevention of complications and reductions in mortality. While the concept of treatment seeking behavior is complex, multifaceted and multidimensional; behavioural model proposed by [10] has been widely used in literature. A number of other models have been proposed to explain treatment seeking behaviour, however many variables of the models frequently overlap, thus producing inconsistent results with wide variations between settings [11].

The generally held belief that patients are passive participants in healthcare decisions has been challenged by the work of [12] in which he noted that, though patients cannot influence treatment or less likely to challenge it, they exercise considerable power in choice of where and how to access healthcare services. There is often a complex interplay between patient related and provider variables [13] and determinants may vary due to language problems [14], long travel times [15], ease of transportation [16], waiting time at healthcare facility [17] and quality of care provided [18].

A systematic review [19] concluded that low cost of services, proximity, confidence in treatment methods and positive attitude of healthcare personnel are strong predictors of behaviour. Other determinants of treatment seeking behaviour reported included friendliness of staff [20], cultural factors [21], family size [22] and educational status [23].

The complexity of determinants of malaria treatment seeking behaviour and wide variability in results is a source of much debate. However continuous evaluation of determinants in different settings is critical to developing appropriate strategies to effectively reach communities, households and decision makers with information that will inform malaria control policy implementation efforts.

Objectives

- To identify the most common factors that influence malaria treatment behaviour
 - To determine malaria prevalence and malaria related hospitalizations.
- To determine where households access malaria treatment services.

2. METHODS

Setting: This study was carried out in Benue State North central Nigeria. The State comprises 23 local government areas and is populated by many ethnic groups with diverse cultures. The major languages spoken in the State are Tiv, Idoma and Iggede and agriculture is the major occupation of the people. The State capital Makurdi is about 270 km south east of Abuja the federal capital.

Study design: This was a cross sectional survey of selected households across the State. The study was carried out during the rainy season June and July 2015.

Selection of survey areas: Survey areas were by multistage sampling method. In the first stage three major towns (Makurdi, Gboko and Otukpo) and three rural areas (Oju, Aliade and Yandev) were purposely selected across the state such that major ethnic groups were represented. In stage two, four major residential districts were randomly selected using information from State town planning department. Stage three involved random selection of four streets in each selected residential district. All houses in the selected streets were numbered serially and every other household was surveyed.

Data collectors: Data collectors included pharmacists and nurses were recruited among staff of private and public healthcare State facilities. At least one individual in the data collection team was fluent in the local language spoken in the area. A three day field training on all aspects of data collection was carried out after which data simulation collection exercise was conducted in a few selected households.

Sample size: The sample size of 1639 was determined using the formula

$$N = 4PQ/l^2$$

Where

N = sample size

P = Prevalence (49.4%)

Q = 1 – P

l^2 = Permissible error of P (5%)

Questionnaire design: The questionnaire was a seventeen item five point Likert scale instrument and was administered in randomly selected households in Makurdi. The Cronbach alpha was 0.822 which is an indication of high internal consistency of the instrument. The questionnaires used for pre-testing the instrument were not included in the final data analysis.

Informed consent: The purpose and the right of refusal were explained to respondents after which consent for participation was sought and obtained either orally or in writing. Informed consent was also deemed to have been given when respondents voluntarily accepted to fill the questionnaire. Participation was completely free and there was no penalty for non-participation.

Questionnaire administration: Two thousand questionnaires were distributed between urban and rural areas in the ratio of 2:1. Houses previously marked for survey were visited by a pair of data collectors and one identified healthcare giver was given the questionnaire after informed consent have been obtained. Those who have difficulties reading or writing were assisted by data collectors either to interpret or enter the response of respondents. Where respondents in pre-selected houses declined participation, an extra household in the same area was surveyed as replacement.

Data analysis: A total of 1705 questionnaires were found suitable for analysis giving a retrieval rate of 85.2%. The data were collated, checked for errors and entered into Microsoft excel and subsequently loaded into SPSS version 20 for descriptive and inferential analysis. Factor analysis using principal component analysis and varimax rotation with KMO normalization was performed. Factor loading less than 0.4 was suppressed. Mean item score and total mean score for extracted components with high factor loading were extracted and used for further analysis.

Ethical approval: Ethical approval was sought and obtained from health research committee of Benue State ministry of health (Ref. No. MED/261/vol1/540)

3. RESULTS

The results showed that about of half of all respondents were males or females with majority of married being married (48%). Majority of study participants had tertiary education (60%) and over 70% respondents were either civil servants (37.6%) or self-employed (34.2%). The mean age of respondents was 42.8±12.9 and 40.4±9.2 in urban and rural areas respectively ($P = <0.001$). There was statistically significant differences between urban and rural areas with respect to distance to point of care ($P = 0.022$), Household size ($P = 0.001$), cost of add on medications ($P = 0.016$) and monthly income ($P = < 0.001$). Urban residents reported about twice more malaria cases compared to their rural counterparts, however rate of malaria related hospitalization were similar

Four components largely account for malaria treatment seeking behaviour based on mean

Demographic data

Table 1

Variable	Number	Percentage	P value
Gender			
Male	847	49.8	0.132
Female	858	50.2	
Marital Status			
Married	823	48.3	0.128
Single	726	42.5	
Others	156	9.2	
Occupation			
Civil servant	641	37.6	0.035
Self-employed	583	34.2	
Farming	147	8.6	
Others	334	19.6	
Education status			
Primary	172	10.1	0.194
Secondary	396	23.2	
Tertiary	1137	66.7	

Respondent characteristics

Table 2

	Urban	Rural	P value
Mean age of respondents (yrs.)	42.8±12.9	40.4±9.2	< 0.001
Household size (n)	5.5±2.9	4.7±2.8	0.001
Distance to healthcare facility (km)	7.7±5.5	9.5±5.3	0.022
Antimalarial drugcost / unit(\$)	2.41±1.56	2.34±1.28	0.139
Other drugs / Prescription(\$)	2.31±2.08	2.64±1.35	0.016
Consultationcost / case	2.54±1.48	2.42±1.26	0.161
Laboratory testcost /case	2.79±1.48	2.91±1.24	0.138
Monthly income (\$)	135.6±2.99	121.3±87.5	<0.001
Number of reported malaria cases	1982	991	

Comment [RGK3]: Results should be clearly described in a concise manner. Results for different parameters should be described under subheadings or in separate paragraph. Table or figure numbers should be mentioned in parentheses for better understanding. (As taken from the Journal instructions to Authors)

Comment [RGK4]: The reader should be directed to a Table or a Figure to demonstrate each description.

Comment [RGK5]: I still would like to know the size of each surveyed town. There is no information on how many inhabitants were surveyed per town. For example, is Makurdi a (larger), (smaller) town compared to Yandev?

Comment [RGK6]: Where does the detailed explanation of result parameters continue? Is this the end of "Results" description?

Comment [RGK7]: Is "Demographic data" the title for Table 1?

Comment [RGK8]: Is this the title for Table 2?

Comment [RGK9]: Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text. I do not see this or other Tables in the text above.

Average number of malaria cases/family	1.5	2.6
Average number of hospitalization/family	2.3	2.2
1 US\$ is equivalent to N315		

Percentage of malaria cases reported per town




Figure 1

Comment [RGK10]: No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text.

Comment [RGK11]: N= 2937, what does this number represent? Total cases of malaria? Total inhabitants in those towns? I cannot find the explanation in the "Results".

Comment [RGK12]: Each figure should have a caption. The caption should be concise and typed separately, not on the figure area. Figures should be self-explanatory. Information presented in the figure should not be repeated in the table. All symbols and abbreviations used in the illustrations should be defined clearly. Figure legends should be given below the figures. According to Journal specifications...

Incidence of malaria related hospitalization




Figure 2

Comment [RGK13]: Figures should be properly explained. The number of the figure goes on top and the explanation of the figure at the bottom. What does n= stand for? Malaria cases? Number of hospitalizations? What is No? What is yes? This observation is to be applied to all figures in the Ms.

Distribution of malaria related hospitalization per household




Figure 3

Source of antimalarial medications among households




Figure 4

Distribution of components and mean scores (n=1705)

Table 3

Items	Factor loading	Mean score + SD
Component 1 Availability		
Laboratory services available	0.704	2.27±1.24
Staffs are courteous and friendly	0.798	2.20±1.25
Personnel are experienced	0.756	2.10±1.25
Services are of high quality	0.610	2.20±1.25
Subtotal mean score		2.19±1.25
Component 2 Accessibility		
Waiting time is acceptable	0.689	2.44±1.30
Working hours is convenient	0.714	2.42±1.34
Familiarity with facility/staff	0.730	2.72±1.31
Subtotal mean score		2.53±1.32
Component 3 Affordability		
Private or public ownership	0.693	2.67±1.32
Cost of services	0.532	2.59±1.27
Transport cost to facility	0.609	2.58±1.23
Prior cost experience with facility	0.568	2.53±1.35
Subtotal mean score		2.59±1.29
Component 4 Perception		
Presence of co-morbidities	0.713	2.23±1.06
Overall health status	0.722	2.26±1.08
Influence of family/friends	0.719	2.46±1.28
Severity of malaria attack	0.722	2.60±1.30
Closeness of facility to my residence	0.533	2.50±1.29
Facility is clean and looks attractive	0.543	2.20±1.23
Subtotal mean score		2.37±1.21

4. DISCUSSION

Demographic data of respondents indicated that females were more than males accounting for 50.3% as against 49.7% for males. Majority of respondents were married and most were either civil servants or unemployed (Table 1). The average number of persons per household in urban areas is higher than in rural area and the difference is significant. This is largely because of rural urban migration particularly of children living with relatives in the urban areas. Other differences between urban and rural areas exist in distance to healthcare facilities; the distance is longer in rural areas, mean age of respondents and monthly income (Table 2). Respondents in urban areas reported cases of malaria more than twice as frequently as those in the rural areas overall. Households in both urban and rural areas that reported at least one malaria episode were more than double the number of families that reported more than one malaria cases within the study period (figure 1). While significant percentage of respondents across the survey areas reported at least one malaria case the rate is higher in urban areas. This may be due to the fact that detection of malaria symptoms, treatment and preventive behavior is considered to be much better among the urban educated elite compared to rural dwellers. Analysis of data clearly indicated that about a third of urban dwellers and a tenth of rural dwellers reported malaria episodes indicating clear differences in knowledge and response to episodes of malaria (Figure 1). Lack of appropriate knowledge and treatment practices may often cause most rural dwellers to mistake malaria for other common local ailments and ignore probable early symptoms of malaria.

Comment [RGK14]: The discussion should not repeat the results, but provide detailed interpretation of data. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings. The results and discussion part can also be described as separate, if appropriate. As taken from the Journal instructions to Authors)

Comment [RGK15]: Does this paragraph belong to Discussion or to Results? Same question for other paragraphs.

Overall malaria related hospitalization was about a quarter or above of all malaria cases across survey areas (figure 2). Malaria related hospitalization was much higher in urban areas compared to rural areas. In about half of households in both urban and rural areas there was at least one hospitalization of family member due to malaria within the study period. Hospitalizations occurred in urban areas. Similar pattern was observed in households with more than one malaria related hospitalization across the survey areas (Table3). This result is similar of [24] and [25]; although they noted that the rate of hospitalization is higher during the wet season and decreases with increasing age. Malaria related hospitalization found in this study is lower than 60% earlier reported [26]. Most households in urban areas obtained their antimalarial medications from government hospitals accounting for an overwhelming percentage of respondents (figure 4). However in rural areas profit oriented private healthcare facilities are the major source of malaria medications for the greater percentage of respondents. This trend is reflective of the dominance of government healthcare facilities in urban areas as against privately owned facilities widely found in every nook and cranny of rural landscape.

Malaria treatment seeking behaviour is complex and multifaceted and the relative strength of determinants is again dependent on other variables. The findings of this study reveal that four components including availability, accessibility, affordability and perceptions of patients were the major determinants of malaria treatment seeking behaviour (Table 3). The high factor loading and mean item scores on a five point Likert scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree) all indicate that these variables strongly influence treatment seeking behaviour. The response to variables represent the opinion of respondents with the mean score providing a measure of how strongly there is agreement or otherwise. Mean scores less than 3.0 imply strong influence on malaria treatment seeking behaviour. These determinants explained by associated variables have earlier been reported earlier [27][28]. In many parts of Benue State, government healthcare facilities in rural areas are few and far in between, healthcare personnel are few, poorly trained and accessibility is limited. This created the opportunity for profit - oriented private facilities to dominate healthcare services in the rural areas; this has obvious negative consequences for financial accessibility.

Perception is among the variables that strongly influence malaria treatment seeking behaviour in this study. This was earlier reported [29]; they also noted that provision of laboratory services was perceived to be an important determinant of choice of providers. Other studies [30] also concluded that perception of experience of healthcare personnel in a facility was a strong determinant. While perception and its relative importance in treatment behaviour may vary widely within and between patients of different socioeconomic status, it is recognized that positive perception is a strong indicator of future use of the healthcare facility. Perception of malaria severity and presence of co-morbidities has been noted as an influencer of treatment seeking behaviour. Many households often practice home management first and only when that either fail, or they perceive that the symptoms are severe, do they refer to a healthcare facility for management. Other studies [31][32] also noted that perception of health status, severity of symptoms and presence of other diseases determines treatment seeking behaviour of patients. Other studies by [33] and [34] similarly included perception of proximity to facility and waiting times as influencers of treatment behaviour.

Affordability of malaria treatment costs was found in this study to be a predictor of treatment seeking behaviour among households (Table 3). The costs associated with malaria treatment such as transportation to point of care, laboratory tests, consultations, drugs etc. are entirely borne by households. The results of this study showed that malaria treatment costs range between US\$3.9 - \$9.8 depending on whether the facility is public or it's privately owned. There are no significant differences between direct cost of malaria medications and laboratory test between urban and rural areas. The cost of treatment in public facilities is comparable to US\$3.52 reported by [35]; although US\$12.46 reported as

malaria treatment cost in private facilities was higher than that found in this study. When treatment cost is considered against the \$1.9 daily wage of the least paid unskilled government employee, malaria treatment remains unaffordable to majority of households. This expectedly will have a huge impact on treatment behaviour. Most households in the urban areas largely patronize public facilities where costs are comparatively cheaper, except in areas where options are limited (Figure 4). A combination of lower costs and ease of access in urban areas encouraged the higher patronage of public healthcare facilities observed in this study; however in rural areas patronage may perhaps largely be driven by costs, availability of facilities and ease of access among others. Accessibility was found in this study to influence of treatment seeking behaviour. This finding was earlier reported [34] and later confirmed by other studies [36] [37] [38]. Generally public hospitals provide round the clock healthcare services as well as a few well-funded private facilities, however waiting times are considered long in public facilities. While the economically well off may choose private facilities where they receive immediate attention, the waiting times in public hospitals can be a significant source of patient dissatisfaction.

5. CONCLUSION

"Malaria" incidence remains high across the State with household reports of incidence appear to be higher than the national average. Malaria treatment behaviour is strongly influenced by availability of healthcare facilities, ease of access, cost of malaria treatment services as well as perceptions of their experience with healthcare services. Majority of households in the urban areas access malaria treatment services in public health facilities as against privately owned ones in rural areas.

LIMITATIONS:

Data used for this study were from information obtained from respondents. There may errors in information provided during filling of questionnaires by respondents.

REFERENCES

1. Federal ministry of health, national strategic plan for roll back malaria. FMOH Abuja. Nigeria 2001.
2. Okeke TA, Uzochukwu BSC, Okafor HU. An in-depth study of patent medicine sellers' perspectives on malaria in a rural Nigerian community. *Malaria J* 2006 5: 97
3. World malaria report 2016. Geneva. World health organization 2016. CC BY – SA 3.0 IGO
4. National malaria elimination programme (NMEP), National population commission (NPopC), National bureau of statistics (NBS), and ICF international. 2016. Nigeria malaria indicator survey 2015; key indicators. Abuja, Nigeria, and Rockville Maryland, USA: NMEP, NPopC, and ICF international.
5. Agomo CO, Oyibo WA, Anorlu RI, Agomo PU. Prevalence of malaria in pregnant women in Lagos, South – West Nigeria. *Korean J Parasitol*. 2009; 47(2): 179 - 183
6. Jimoh A, Sofola O, Petu A. Quantifying the economic burden of malaria using willingness to pay approach. *Cost Effect Res Allocation* 2007; 5: 6
7. Onyido AE, Obinatu SC, Umeanaeto PU, Obiukwu MO, Egbuche MC. Malaria prevalence and mosquito abundance in Uli town Ihi LGA. *Anambra State Nigeria. Afr J Biomed Res* 2011; 14(3): 175 - 182

Comment [RGK16]: Grammar of sentence??

Comment [RGK17]: *For Published paper:*
1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2002;32(4):489-98.
Kindly note the punctuation when citing a published reference, according to the rules of the Journal. The authors need to review punctuation in all the cited references.

Comment [RGK18]: Punctuation?

Comment [RGK19]: Punctuation?

Comment [RGK20]: Punctuation?

8. Bassey BE, Asor JE, Useh MF. Profile of malaria in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic in rural community in Nigeria. *The Open Parasitology J* 2007, 1: 1-6
9. Okonko IO, Soleye FA, Amusan TA, Ogun AA, Udeze AO, Nkang AO et al. Prevalence of malaria plasmodium in Abeokuta. *Malaysian J Microbiol* 2009,5(2): 113 – 118
10. Anderson R, Newman J. Social and individual determinant of medical care utilization. *MillibankMem Fund quarterly*1973, 51(1): 95 – 124
11. Cumming JN, Ploypradith P, Posner GH. Antimalarial activity of Artemisinin (Quinghaosu) and related trioxanes, Mechanism of action. *AdvPharmacol*1997,37: 253 – 297
12. Leonard KL, Mliga GR, Marian DH. Bye passing healthcare centers in Tanzania revealed preferences for observable and unobservable quality. University of Colombia, New York. [http://www.colombia.edu/~K1206/papers/bypass\(p\).pdf](http://www.colombia.edu/~K1206/papers/bypass(p).pdf) Accessed 15/7/16
13. Aakfe V, Diana MJD, Roland DF, Jany JR. Determinants of patient choice of healthcare providers; a scooping review. *BMC health Serv Res*2012,12: 272
14. Dixon A, Robertson R, Bal R. The experience of implementing choice at point of referral; a comparism of the Netherlands and England. *Health Econs Policy and Law*2010, 5: 295 – 317
15. Burge P, Devlin N, Appleby J. Do patients always prefer quicker treatment? A discrete choice analysis of patients stated preferences in London patient choice project. *Appl Health Econs Health Policy* 2004,3: 183 – 194
16. Magee H, Davis LJ, Coulter A. Public views on healthcare performance indicators and patient choice. *J R Soc Med* 2003,96: 338 – 342
17. Ringard A, Hagen TP. Are waiting times for hospital admissions affected by patients' choices and morbidity? *BMC Health Serv Res* 2011,11: 170 – 178
18. Damman OC, Spreeuwenberg P, Rademakers J, Michelle H. Creating compact comparative healthcare information; what are the key attributes to present for Cataracts and total hip and knee replacement surgery. *Med Decis making* 2011,32: 287 – 300
19. Kizito J, Kayendke M, Nabirye C, Staedke SG, Chandler IC. Improving access to healthcare for malaria in Africa; a review of literature of what attract patient. *Malaria J* 2012,11: 55
20. Onyejekwe O, Ojukwu J, Uzochukwu B. Where do people from different socioeconomic groups receive diagnosis and treatment for presumptive malaria in south eastern Nigeria? *Ann Trop Med Parasitol* 2005,99: 473 – 481
21. Tanner M, Vlassoff C. Treatment seeking behaviour for malaria; a typology based on endemicity and gender. *SocSci med* 1998,46: 523 – 532
22. Hetzel MW, Alba S, Frankhauser M, Mayumana I, Lengeler C, Obrist B et al. Malaria risk and access to prevention and treatment in the paddies of Kilombero valley, Tanzania. *Malaria J* 2008, 7: 7
23. Dzator J, Asafu-Adjaye J. A study of malaria care provider choice in Ghana. *Health Policy* 2004,69: 389 – 401
24. Kazember LN, Immo K, Brian LS. Pattern of malaria related hospitalization and mortality among Malawian children; an example of spatial modeling of hospital modeling of hospital register data. *Malaria J* 2006,5: 93
25. Alison BC, JannekeJH, Van Dijk, Sungano M, Kathryn S, Garbet R et al. Hospitalization and costs incurred at the facility level after scale up of malaria control; pre-post comparism from two hospitals in Zambia. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*2014,90(1): 20 – 32
26. Adekunle OA, Ebenezer AA, Akande OA, Dada AS, Fadare JO, Akolawole M et al. Pattern and outcome of medical admission in Ekiti State University teaching hospital, Ado Ekiti – a five year review. *Am J Med and Med Sci*2015,5(2): 92 – 98

- 494 27. Chibwana AI, Mathanga DP, Chinkhumba J, Campbell CH. Sociocultural predictors
495 of health seeking behaviour for febrile under five children in Mwanza – Neno district,
496 Malawi. *Malaria Journal* 2009;8: 219
497 28. Olumide AA, Oluwatosin OOA. The determinants of choice of health facility
498 in Sagamu, south west Nigeria. *Sch J appl Med Sci* 2014, 2(1c): 274 – 287
499 29. Okeke TA, Okeibunor JC. Rural – urban differences in health seeking for the
500 treatment of childhood malaria in south east Nigeria. *Health policy* 2010;95(1): 62 –
501 68
502 30. Nanyonjo A, Nakirunda M, Majumbi F, Tomson G et al. Community acceptability and
503 adoption of integrated community case management in Uganda. *Am J Trop Med*
504 *Hyg* 2012, 85(5): 97 – 104
505 31. Ha N, Berman P, Larsen U. Household utilization and expenditure on private and
506 public health services in Vietnam. *Health policy and planning* 2002;17(1): 61 – 70
507 32. Asante KP, Abokyi L, Zando C, Owusu R et al. Community perception of malaria
508 and malaria treatment behaviour in a rural district of Ghana; implication for
509 artemisinin combination therapy. *BMC public health* 2010;10: 409
510 33. Ager A, Pepper K. Pattern of health service utilization and perception of needs and
511 services in rural Orissa. *Health policy and planning* 2005, 20(3): 176 – 184
512 34. Atkinson S, Ngwengwe A, Macwangi M, Ngulube TJ, Harpham T, O'Connell A et al.
513 The referral process and urban health care in Sub Saharan Africa; the case of
514 Lusaka Zambia. *SocSci Med* 1999, 49(1): 27 – 38
515 35. Karyama M, Angela D, Kenangalem E, Burdam L, Poespoprodjo JR, Vemuri R et al.
516 Treatment seeking behaviour and associated cost in Papua, Indonesia. *Malaria J*
517 2016;15: 536
518 36. Noor AM, Amin AM, Getting PW, Atkinson PM, Hay SI, Snow RW.
519 Modeling distances travelling to government health services in Kenya. *Trop Med Int*
520 *health* 2006;11: 188 – 196
521 37. O'Meara WP, Noor AM, Gatakaa H, Tsofa F, McKenzie E, Marsh K. Impact of
522 primary healthcare on malaria morbidity – defining access by disease burden. *Trop*
523 *Med Int health* 2009;14: 29 – 35
524 38. Nathan S, Andrew O, Chrispinus S, Diana M, Baras KO, O'Meara WP. Accessibility,
525 availability and affordability of antimalarials in a rural district in Kenya after
526 implementation of a national subsidy scheme. *Malaria J* 2011;10: 316
527