



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_43113
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Ocimum gratissimum leaf Extract on Lipid Profile of Experimentally Induced Prostatic Hyperplasia Animal Model
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	TITLE - Line 2: experimentally-induced INTRODUCTION - Lines 54 & 55: I don't think the long-term goal is necessary; the stated aim is enough.. METHODS - Line 86: induction of BPH: the text under this sub-section is insufficient; details are needed on the doses without which, experiments cannot be replicated. - Line 90: animal grouping & treatment: to justify the choice of doses of administered substances; arbitrarily, from previous studies, or through pilot studies? - Line 99: determination of biochemical parameters: I would request authors to check the content of the text against the title; there's some discrepancy. - Line 105: authors shouldn't treat the lipid profile determination here as different from determination of biochemical parameters; there's need to resolve the two. RESULTS - It would be better associating each set of result data with the corresponding comments - There are numerous, confusing sub-titles; authors need to clearly note what should stand as a title or a sub-title. For example, sub-titles 4.3-4.6 are not necessary; it would be better to comment all under the single title "lipid profile" Ethical issue: YES! Some experimental details are yet to be provided, without which, experiments cannot be replicated	Corrected Ok Added in page 5 lines 108-114 The choice of doses administered were gotten through pilot studies. Now line 126. The study investigated lipid metabolism alterations in BPH Animal Model. I think the title is line with the study. Lipid profile is now a sub-heading under determination of biochemical parameters 3.4.1 Corrected in pages 7-9 lines 143-183 Corrected in pages 8 line 172 Ethical issue was considered in page 5 by The Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, Cross River University of Technology, Calabar, Nigeria Experimental details have been provided in the Induction of BPH and Treatments
Minor REVISION comments	Language is good, but manuscript needs careful check for some grammatical errors.	Grammatical errors have been checked and corrected
Optional/General comments		