



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_42283
Title of the Manuscript:	The Atraumatic Metatarsal Fracture: A Clinical Sign of Bisphosphonate-Associated Bone Fragility
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English Grammar Good Paper. Nice Tabs and Graph	We thank the reviewer for the comments and hope that the revised version contains all the corrections to proper English grammar that the reviewer feels are needed. The reviewer's opinion about the paper in general is appreciated. We hope our contrarian point of view compared to the prevailing clinical practice informs this paper. We thank the reviewer for the compliment to the Table and graph which we were happy to see survived the initial edition process intact.. Revisions in reference numbers have been extensive in order to comply with the requirements from another reviewer.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		