



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_42186
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Association between Anthropometry and Dental Caries among Young Adult Nigerians: A Case Control Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	How sample size was calculated (Line 65), what was the population size(of area of study) that was taken into consideration. Did you use any sample size calculator Table 2 & Line (144,145) report active caries highest among normal weight individuals WHILE Line (157,158) report caries closely link with overweight (Kindly correct, your Table 2 results report active caries closely linked with Normal BMI class).	The sample size was estimated using a formula for estimation of proportion. Lines 144-145 had been removed , so also lines 157-158 due to incorrect interpretation of the data. The ambiguity in data and data interpretation had been corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	With reference to line 63 in Methodology: Coordinates of study place are not usually required in research studies. Kindly remove it. With reference to line 30-39: Basics and background of BMI is not necessary, kindly condense it to maximum two lines With reference to line 124-126: Kindly move this part into introduction in a condensed form. Kindly state, if any confounding variables are present in this study	1.Lines 61-63 had been removed and statement there adjusted. 2.Lines 30-39 had been condensed 3. Lines 124-136 had been moved in a condensed form to introduction 5.No confounding variable present as the cases and control were age- and sex- matched.
Optional/General comments	Accepted with rectification of Major & Minor Revision	