



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_41622
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study to Assess and Evaluate Prescribing Patterns of Antihypertensive Drugs in Medicine Ward of A Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital at Vijayanagara Institute of Medical Sciences, Ballari, Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The measurement of hypertension is influenced by many factors. Please provide measurement methods, such as mercury sphygmomanometer or electronic sphygmomanometer. This article does not provide any treatment for antihypertensive drugs, so it's hard to judge the rationality of the prescribing patterns.	Respected mam, As per your recommendations the manuscript is corrected and corrected texts are highlighted in the yellow background. 1. Measurement methods are provided in line no. 82-84 Under source of data section. Extremely sorry for not understanding 2nd paragraph comment.
Minor REVISION comments	Where is Table 4? Classes of Antihypertensive Drugs Prescribed as More Than Three	Table 4 is also included in the corrected manuscript in line no.124
Optional/General comments	As an investigative paper, the innovation of this article is not enough.	