



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_41493
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Attitude, Perception and Behaviour of patients towards Drug Leaflet in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The MS needs to adjust the total number of participants to 294, since in Tables 1, 2 and 3 the totals are different to 319 mentioned as the total sample population; then, it is suggested that 294 is the number to be used as n. 2. It is recommended that informed consent should be as a written and signed letter. The data of the work surpass a 5 year period (i.e., 2012).	1. We presented the data transparently and we have no intention to falsify it at all, SORRY. Therefore we gave total numbers in each Table from which %s are derived. 2. This is a cross-sectional study and was not a major project with say 3 phases, each one of 12-month or so, in that scenario researchers need to take repeated written consent! This survey did not involve any major or minor risk to the participants and, hence, voluntary participation was considered as ORAL consent. Ethically, it is more appropriate to take "written and signed consent" from each participant, inserted in the manuscript. Ideally speaking we support your agenda, "Written and signed consent" and thank you very much for your sharp comments!
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		