



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_41291
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDROETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF FICUS PUMILA LINN. IS PROTECTIVE AGAINST GENATAMICIN-INDUCED KIDNEY DAMAGE IN RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript has scientific sound. It can be accepted for publication after corrected minor error especially in reference style. In standard paper only one style is chosen. But in this manuscript both styles are applied. Better delete one of them and selected either numbering of author and, year. Style.	Addressed accordingly
Optional/General comments		