



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_41291
Title of the Manuscript:	HYDROETHANOLIC EXTRACTS OF FICUS PUMILA LINN. IS PROTECTIVE AGAINST GENATAMICIN-INDUCED KIDNEY DAMAGE IN RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some typos, punctuation and grammatical errors in the paper. I recommend careful proofreading. 2. Authors should add some examples of previous studies related to your study in the introduction section. 3. Presentation of references in the list is not consistent. Authors must rearrange the references by following the journals reference format and instructions. <p>Plagiarism issue:</p> <p>Yes about 80% similarity. Report attached</p>	<p>Noted and corrected appropriately</p> <p>The Plagiarism issue reported is due to the fact that the manuscript of Students from my Institution, the Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology are checked for plagiarism using Turnitin, the same used by this Reviewer. Since the manuscript exist in the database, the test will always come up high (in this case, 80%).</p>
Optional/General comments		