



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40957
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Renal dysfunction and its relationship with CD4 and TNF alpha of HIV patients on Anti-retroviral Therapy in Port Harcourt.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- Most of the issues raised earlier seem to have been addressed. - Statement at line 46-47 of manuscript not complete. - Line 104-109: classification does not utilize CD4 for classification. This classification of immunosuppression into mild, moderate and severe/ advanced is not standard. Utilize either CDC classification if you want to use CD4 for grading of immunosuppression. CDC recognizes ≥ 500, 200-499, <200 cell/mm³ as stage 1, 2, & 3 respectively. - Line 247-248: I do not see the relevance of this statement. There are statistical analyses that should take care of confounders. If the confounders are not known/ hidden, why cite them as a limitation? - Line 250-251: The power of a study has to do with the sample size. Are you insinuating that your sample size was small? I would rather think that the study design did not allow for a cause and effect analysis about drugs and kidney damage.	Sentence has been completed Classification was according to WHO and has been referenced. I have indicated Nutritional status as the confounding variable. It was not indicated in the statistical The sample is a representation of the southern part of Nigeria and not the entire country.