



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40957
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Renal dysfunction and its relationship with CD4 and TNF alpha of HIV patients on Anti-retroviral Therapy in Port Harcourt.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors observed CD4, TNF level in comparison with eGFR and concluded female is at high risk for renal impairment. The correlation between CD4, TNF and eGFR does not imply the reason that female is at high risk. The authors should show data to support their conclusion. Renal function is evaluated not only by eGFR but proteinuria. Do authors have data of proteinuria level? I doubt the distribution of data on CD4 count, TNF and eGFR differ between male and female or each category. Statistical analysis method should be clarified.	CD4 and TNF has been re-arranged to show differences in patients with renal dysfunction and those without. There was no data for proteinuria which is a limitation in this study The distribution has been shown using appropriate figures.
Minor REVISION comments	English is so poor and difficult to follow. Please ask professional editing by natives.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Ethical issue: There is no description of approval by ethical committee of authors' institute.	This has been added