SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_40957**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Prevalence of Renal dysfunction and its relationship with CD4 and TNF alpha of HIV patients on Anti-retroviral Therapy in Port Harcourt.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This is an interesting study, however the statistical analysis was limited to the categorical description and correlation. It would be of more interest to present a multiple regression analysis, seeking to identify which would be the main predictors of altered renal function in this population. Or, what is the magnitude of the impact of CD4 and TNF levels on renal function.**	**multiple regression analysis has been done and presented.**
Minor REVISION comments	**Other details are in a need of professional revision of English and correct the tables and their references in the text, as there are errors (example, table 5)**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	