



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40476
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic prescription pattern in bacterial opportunistic infections among patients stabilized on HAART in a tertiary healthcare facility in North east Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Abstract is very long. Reduce the introduction to maximum three lines as a perfect summary for introduction. Ensure that the nuabstract no of words do not exceed 300 words.</p> <p>Methodology: Analysis: introduce how MPR and cost were determined.</p> <p>Results: Table 2, 3 and 4 should have a table legend with full details of abbreviations for IB and LPG.</p> <p>Conclusion: Missing in the paper. It must be clearly shown and must be a perfect summary of the key findings and evidence to theory applied in the study. It also has to focus on the key objectives of the study.</p> <p>References: Old citations (lower than 2000 are dominant in the study. They may need to be substituted with more recent publications (not more than 10 years old).</p>	<p>The abstract was reduced as suggested though more than three lines but not exceeding 300 words</p> <p>Methodology: MPR – calculation of median price ratios was explained</p> <p>Results: Details of abbreviation IB and LPG provided as appropriate</p> <p>Conclusion: Included now</p> <p>Reference: They have been reviewed and substituted with more recent publications as suggested.</p>
Optional/General comments	The paper is well written with perfect grammar, well type set and formatting except for the few issues identified above which ought to be rectified to improve the quality of this paper.	