



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40393
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Strophanthus hispidus Dc. (Apocynaceae) Roots Extract on Normal and Hyperglycemic Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) The objective is confusing and don't use abbreviations in the abstract 2) Include ethnomedicinal uses of Strophanthus as antidiabetic with references 3) Include the phytochemicals isolated from this plant and pharmacological activity correlating to antidiabetic activity with structures in chemsketch mol format and references (both 2 & 3 , kindly include in introduction). 4) The 2.7 is not complete , kindly elaborate in more scientific way. 5) The conclusion should be elaborate and its represented in a non scientific way and its general , misleading the readers , kindly change and explain in depth and the results showing @ 200 mg/kg which is not potent at all , kindly change the words and discuss with some clinical statisticians before you conclude. 6) Kindly include the ethical clearance number gave from your institution. 7) The bar diagrams should be redraw using prism software and test for significance should be included.	The objective was well defined. Line 37 reported the hypoglycemic properties of the leaves extract with stated reference [4]. However, till date, no phytochemical with antidiabetic properties has been isolated from this plant. The conclusion is clear and in consent with the discussion The SPSS 17 package used in illustrating the bar diagram is ok.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The entire manuscript should be redrafted and should explain in scientific manner, the scientific approach in writing manuscript is missing .	