



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_40194
Title of the Manuscript:	C-reactive protein, trace element and lipid profile in cardiovascular disease.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The difference between the values is great and seems to be very suspicious, especially small $\pm m$ In conclusion you can not postulate that dietary supplement of elements will have impact on morbidity and mortality	1. The word will have been substituted with may in the conclusion as rightly pointed out
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Better write arterial hypertension (AH) In line 30 you mean AH in 130/90 however further you use 140/90 mm Hg Better use cardiovascular diseases instead of heart diseases Myocardium instead of muscle heart If $p < 0.001$ then better to write $p < 0.001$ instead of 0.000 Diseases instead of "illness"	1. Error from my side. It had been changed to 130/90 mmHg 2. Comment on p value had been corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		